Catapult Design Dutch Masters Co.



Frank, Jon R., Teddy, Jon B., Sarah

Group Leader: Teddy Deligianis Co-Workers: Frank Mader Sarah Marnhout Jonathan Ripper Jonathan Beckley

Introduction of What to Expect



Specifications and Objectives

- Size: 1.5' x 1.5' x 1.5' (1.5 Cubic Feet)
 Object Thrown: Golf Ball (50 Grams)
 - 3'' Bullseye within a 26'' cubic target standing 7.5'' off the ground.

Miscellaneous

Target:

Be able to adjust aiming, force, and height.
 <u>Not allowed</u> to have a mechanical device. (motors)
 Has to be and look <u>safe</u> to use.

Brainstorm

Types of brainstorming we used:
 Group Discussion

Thernet Resources

TINDIVIDUAL Thoughts and Ideas

Tibrary



List of Ideas

- Spaghetti Spoon Arm
- Twisted Rope Force
- Metal Arm
- Wheels
- Flags
- Elastic Pulling Force
- Pin Trigger
- Stopping Bar
- Cloth Pouch

- Back Spring
- Dual Uprights
- Crank Gears and Lever
- Rubber Stopping Bar
- Turning Axle
- Weight Dropper
- Laser Scope
- Wood Arm
- Wood Base w/ Open Middle

■Pros and Cons ※

Spaghetti Spoon Arm

- ✤ Metal Arm
- ℜ Flags
- ✤ Elastic Pulling Force
- Pin Trigger
- ✤ Stopping Bar

- ✤ Back Spring
- ✤ Crank Gears and Lever
- Throwing spring
- ☆ Turning Axle

- ✤ Wood Arm
- ✤ Wood Base w/ Open Middle

-Additional Ideas-

Rat traps for force.

Stopping chains.

Solid, heavy wood base.

Ice creams scoop arm.

Concerns of Brainstorming

Safety Factor

Consistency and Accuracy

Durability



The Stopping Chains

 A stopping bar could cause injury and possibility of damaging the fire arm.

The Trigger Mechanism

 Was used to keep away from being too close to the catapult itself to activate safely.

Consistency and Accuracy~

■ The Chains

- The idea of chains was used to keep the length and strength consistent. The chains also allow to differentiate the accuracy of the object thrown.
- The Rat Trap Springs
 - The force was consistent every time in usage of the springs.

Durability~

We chose our materials based on their strength to withhold the force of firing our design and also to remain strong throughout the testing and competitions.

1st Prototype-

■ We Used:

- 1 Rat Trap
- An egg spoon
- Steel wire
- A thin metal chain as a stopping mechanism.
- No trigger mechanism.

Prototype



What it looked like.



Test-Results



Problems Found

Everything:

- The throwing arm was broken going into the prototype testing.
- We had no trigger mechanism.
- The performance wasn't consistent.
- The force needed to be increased.
- The design jumped when launched.
- The stopping chains broke and slid when fired.

Problems

Solutions

&

• The Arm:

Used a Ice Cream Scoop.

- The Force:
- **The Chain:**

- Instead of one rat trap, we used two.
- A stronger more durable chain.
- The Firing Mechanism:

Used a pin release trigger.

The Moving of the Launcher:

Heavy, old aged wood base.

Final Design



Drawings of final design.



-Additional Problems-

■ Not as Many:

- The trigger mechanism was not in a good position for firing.
- The accuracy was hitting above the bulls eye.



Results from testing.



Advantages Over Competition

- The most accurate!
- The safest design on the market!
- The most creative!
- Very cost efficient!
- Was built by us, and only us!
 - (No Parents HELP!)

Future Improvements & Conclusion

Any Q	uestions?
	K